

Joseph A. Boyle
Vincent P. Rao
Steven J. Moore (*Pro Hac Vice*)
James M. Moriarty (*Pro Hac Vice*)
KELLEY DRYE & WARREN LLP
200 Kimball Drive
Parsippany, New Jersey 07054
(973) 503-5900
Attorneys for Defendant
Zydus Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc. and
Cadila Healthcare, Limited

RECEIVED

JUL 18 2012

AT 8:30 _____ M
WILLIAM T. WALSH
CLERK

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

TAKEDA PHARMACEUTICAL
COMPANY LIMITED, TAKEDA
PHARMACEUTICALS NORTH
AMERICA, INC., TAKEDA
PHARMACEUTICALS LLC,
TAKEDA PHARMACEUTICALS
AMERICA, INC., and ETHYPHARM,
S.A.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

ZYDUS PHARMACEUTICALS USA
INC. and CADILA HEALTHCARE
LIMITED,

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION NO:
3:10-CV-01723-JAP-TJB

Motion Date: August 6, 2012

Oral Argument Requested

**ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO
SEAL JUNE 11, 2012
MEMORANDUM OPINION AND TO
FILE REDACTED COPY ON THE
PUBLIC DOCKET**

This matter having come before the Court by motion on consent of
Defendants Zydus Pharmaceuticals, USA, Inc. and Cadila Healthcare, Limited
(collectively "Zydus") for issuance of an Order sealing the Court's June 11, 2012

Memorandum Opinion granting-in-part and denying-in-part Zydus' February 10, 2012 Motion to Amend its Preliminary Non-Infringement Contentions, and further granting Zydus leave to file a redacted copy of the Memorandum Opinion, in the form attached to Zydus' Motion as Exhibit A, on the public docket, and the Court having reviewed the submissions of Zydus, for good cause shown,¹

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Court's June 11, 2012 Memorandum Opinion granting-in-part and denying-in-part Zydus' February 10, 2012 Motion to Amend its Preliminary Non-Infringement Contentions be and is sealed, and Zydus shall file on the public docket within seven (7) days of the entry of this Order a redacted version of the Court's June 11, 2012 Memorandum Opinion in the form attached to Zydus' Motion to Seal as Exhibit A.

DATED: ~~August~~ ^{July} 16th, 2012

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Tonianne J. Bongiovanni

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court terminate the aforementioned motion [Docket Entry No. 209]

¹ The Court is aware that any interested person has until August 6, 2012 to move to intervene with respect to this motion and this Order in no way interferes with that right. Consequently, should an interested person timely move to intervene, the Court shall reexamine its findings of fact and conclusions of law at that time.